Risk Management with POE, VaR, CVaR, and bPOE

Stan Uryasev

Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering University of Florida, Gainesville, FL uryasev@ufl.edu

Joint work with Drew Kouri, Sandia Lab Supported by DARPA EQUIPS grant SNL 014150709

CDF and POE

- X = random "loss"
- Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) = $F(x) = \mathbb{P}\{X \le x\}$
- ▶ Probability of Exceedance (POE) = p(x) = P{X > x} = 1 F(x), also known as Survival, Survivor, or Reliability function.



Risk Management with POE and CDF

Requirement: probability that loss exceeds threshold x is small

 $p(x) \le 1 - \alpha$ e.g., $1 - \alpha = 1 - 0.95 = 0.05$

Nuclear: probability that release of radiation exceeds some level
 Finance: default probability of a company (Assets-Liability < 0)

Equivalently: probability that loss is below threshold x is large

$$p(x) = 1 - F(x) \le 1 - \alpha \implies$$

$$F(x) \ge \alpha$$
 e.g., $\alpha = 0.95$

Material Science: material should withstand the load x with high probability

Quantile (VaR in finance)

Quantile $q(\alpha)$ is inverse of CDF.

Quantile is a solution of equation $F(x) = \alpha$, i.e. $F(q(\alpha)) = \alpha$. Quantile is a solution of equation $p(x) = 1 - \alpha$, i.e., $p(q(\alpha)) = 1 - \alpha$.



Risk Management with Quantiles (VaR)

Requirement: Quantile with confidence α is less than some threshold

 $q(\alpha) \le x$

Finance: e.g., VaR for daily loss is below \$1 billion

Equivalence of POE and Quantile Constraints

Some engineering areas use POE other areas use Quantiles.

Constraints on POE and quantiles are equivalent. It is a matter of convenience.

Finance uses quantiles (Value-at-Risk or VaR) specified in USD.

Nuclear engineering uses POE, maybe because probabilities are more understandable to people than radiation dosages.

$$\mathsf{POE}(x) \le 1 - \alpha \implies \mathsf{quantile}(\alpha) \le x$$

Continuous and strictly increasing CDF

$$p(x) \le 1 - \alpha$$

$$\implies F(x) \ge \alpha$$

$$\implies F^{-1}(F(x)) \ge F^{-1}(\alpha) = q(\alpha)$$

$$\implies x \ge q(\alpha)$$

$$\implies q(\alpha) \le x$$

$$quantile(\alpha) \le x \implies POE(x) \le 1 - \alpha$$

Continuous and strictly increasing CDF

$$q(\alpha) = F^{-1}(\alpha) \le x$$

$$\implies F(F^{-1}(\alpha)) \le F(x)$$

$$\implies \quad \alpha \leq F(x)$$

$$\implies \alpha \le 1 - p(x)$$

$$\implies p(x) \le 1 - \alpha$$

POE and Quantiles: Poor Properties

POE and Quantile have poor mathematical properties:

- nonconvex in random variable
- discontinuous for discrete distributions w.r.t. parameters
- difficult to manage (optimize)
- are not conservative: do not take into account the values of outcomes in the tail of the distribution

Superquantile (CVaR) vs Quantile (VaR)

Superquantile $\bar{q}(\alpha)$ = average of the tail in excess of quantile (VaR)

 $\bar{q}(\alpha) =$ inverse of $\bar{F}(x)$ which is CDF of Superdistribution (red curve)



Superquantile (CVaR) Properties

Formal Superquantile (CVaR) definition:

continuous distributions:

 $\bar{q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{E}\{X|X > q(\alpha)\}$

general (including discrete) distributions:

$$\bar{q}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{1} q(\alpha) \, d\alpha = \min_{C} \{C + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \mathbb{E}[X-C]^+\},$$

where $[X-C]^+ = \max\{0, X-C\}$

- takes into account values of outcomes in the tail of the distribution
- coherent risk measure (the best from theoretical perspective)
- convex in random variable
- continuous w.r.t. parameters
- easy to manage and optimize with convex and linear programming, (Rockafellar & Uryasev (2000))

bPOE vs POE

Buffered Probability of Exceedance (bPOE) = $1 - \bar{F}(x) = 1 - \alpha$, where α satisfies equation $\bar{q}(\alpha) = x$.

Superdistribution $\overline{F}(x)$ (Rockafellar & Royset (2013)). Special case of bPOE with x = 0 (Rockafellar & Royset (2010)). General bPOE case and optimization representation (Norton & Uryasev (2014), Mafusalov & Uryasev (2014)).



bPOE properties

bPOE: will be a new hit in risk management, similar to CVaR

- optimization representation: $\bar{p}(x) = \min_{a \ge 0} \mathbb{E}[a(X x) + 1]^+$
- takes into account values of outcomes in the tail of the distribution
- quasi-convex in random variable X
- Iowest quasi-convex (in X) upper bound of POE
- bPOE is about twice bigger than POE
- continuous w.r.t. parameters
- easy to manage (optimize with convex and linear programming)

Risk Management in Different Fields

$p(x) \le 1 - \alpha$	nuclear, material, finance
$q(\alpha) \le x$	finance
$\bar{q}(\alpha) \le x$	finance
<i>.</i> .	

 $\bar{p}(x) \leq \alpha$ optimization of large physical systems

Example: bPOE Minimization

• $L(z) = c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i z_i$ is a linear function w.r.t. $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$ with random coefficients $(c_0, c_1, ..., c_n)$

• minimize bPOE of L(z) w.r.t. z

$$\begin{split} \min_{z} \bar{p}(x, L(z)) &= \min_{z} \min_{a \ge 0} \mathbb{E} \left[a(L(z) - x) + 1 \right]^{+} \\ &= \min_{z, a \ge 0} \mathbb{E} \left[a(c_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}z_{i} - x) + 1 \right]^{+} \\ &= \min_{z, a \ge 0} \mathbb{E} \left[(c_{0} - x)a + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}az_{i} + 1 \right]^{+} \\ &= \min_{y, a \ge 0} \mathbb{E} \left[(c_{0} - x)a + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}y_{i} + 1 \right]^{+} \end{split}$$

change of variables az -> y reduces the problem to convex and linear proramming w.r.t. variables y, a